**Presentation Rubric**

**[1] Clarity (5 points)**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Above Average (5 points): The thesis is exceptionally clear, with well-focused ideas that are consistently supported by relevant details. | Score/Comments: |
| Sufficient (4.5 points): The thesis is clear, with most ideas focused and supported by relevant details. |
| Developing (4 points): The thesis is identified, but ideas are not consistently focused or adequately supported by details. |
| Needs Improvement (3.5 points): The thesis is unclear, and ideas are disconnected, failing to support the central purpose. |

**[2] Organization (5 points)**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Above Average (5 points): Ideas are logically sequenced, making the presentation easy to follow from start to finish. | Score/Comments: |
| Sufficient (4.5 points): Ideas are presented in a mostly logical order, though transitions may be slightly unclear. |
| Developing (4 points): The sequence of ideas is somewhat difficult to follow, impacting audience understanding. |
| Needs Improvement (3.5 points): Ideas are poorly sequenced, leading to confusion and making the presentation hard to follow. |

**[3] Depth of content (5 points)**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Above Average (5 points): The presentation thoroughly explores the topic, providing meaningful depth and analysis beyond the basics. | Score/Comments: |
| Sufficient (4.5 points): The presentation covers the topic sufficiently, but depth and analysis are limited. |
| Developing (4 points): The presentation touches on key points but lacks depth and critical analysis. |
| Needs Improvement (3.5 point): The content is superficial and lacks exploration of the topic. |

**[4] Presentation skills (5 points + 1 bonus)**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Above Average (5 points): Presentation is delivered confidently, with excellent engagement, pacing, and use of visual aids. | Score/Comments: |
| Sufficient (4.5 points): Presentation is clear and mostly engaging, with good use of pacing and visual aids. |
| Developing (4 points): Presentation is somewhat engaging, but delivery lacks consistency in pacing or use of visual aids. |
| Needs Improvement (3.5 points): Presentation is difficult to follow due to poor delivery or minimal use of visual aids. |
| Bonus (1 point): Innovative use of visual aids |

**[5] Overall presentation quality (5 points)**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Excellent (5 points): The presentation is outstanding in terms of clarity, organization, depth, and delivery. | Score/Comments: |
| Good (4.5 points): The presentation is solid overall, with minor areas for improvement. |
| Average (4 points): The presentation meets basic expectations but lacks polish or depth. |
| Needs Improvement (3.5 points): The presentation needs significant improvement in multiple areas. |

**[6] Qualitative evaluation**

Provide a brief qualitative evaluation of the presentation, focusing on strengths and areas for improvement: